

## REMARKS

Claims 1-26 are pending in the present appeal. Claims 1-26 were amended. Claims 1-26 remain pending in the present application.

## DECISION

Claims 1-26 stand rejected under 35 USC § 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The rejection of claims 1-26 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention is reversed.

The rejection of claims 1-26 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Melton is reversed.

## 35 USC § 101

The Board has stated:

“Based on our consideration of representative claim 1, we conclude that claims 1-26 are not directed to statutory subject matter in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 101. “

Applicants have amended the independent claims to tie the method, system and computer program product claim to a specific machine. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that claims are now in conformance with the “machine” test and that claims 1-26 now encompass statutory subject matter.

Accordingly, since the rejections under 35 USC § 112 and 35 USC § 102 have been reversed on appeal, and the 35USC 101 rejection has been overcome. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration, allowance and passage to issue of claims 1-26 as now presented.

### CONCLUSION

Applicants' attorney believes this application is in condition for allowance. Should any unresolved issues remain, Examiner is invited to call Applicants' attorney at the telephone number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,

March 15, 2010

/Joseph A. Sawyer, Jr./  
Joseph A. Sawyer, Jr.  
Reg. No. 30,801

**Customer Number 45728**

(650) 493-4540

(650) 493-4549